*International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*
*Vol. 24, No. 9, pp. 584-600, September 2025*
*https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.9.29*
*Received Jul 2, 2025; Revised Aug 14, 2025; Accepted Aug 30, 2025*

# Exploring the Promotion of Skills Development in an Odel Space Vis-À-Vis 4IR Technologies in Sub-Saharan Africa

**Olukayode Solomon Aboderin***, **Doniwen Pietersen**
University of South Africa
Pretoria, South Africa

**Dean Langeveldt**
Sol Plaatje University
Kimberley, South Africa

**Abstract.** Skills development in Sub-Saharan Africa's Open Distance and e-Learning (ODeL) systems faces significant obstacles, including poor digital infrastructure, unequal access to technology, socioeconomic disparities, and a lack of pedagogical frameworks tailored to emerging digital tools. These challenges demand critical reflection on how education can prepare students for the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR). This conceptual paper examines the potential of 4IR technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR), the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and robotics, to support skills development in ODeL environments. Grounded in constructivist learning theory and critical digital pedagogy, it adopts a conceptual and interpretive approach, drawing on a narrative review of academic literature, policy documents, and theoretical works sourced from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Thematic analysis revealed five themes: the promise of 4IR tools in enhancing critical thinking, collaboration, and digital literacy; limitations related to infrastructure, affordability, and human capacity; ethical and cultural implications; instances of successful implementation; and divergent views on adoption. The paper proposes strategies for thoughtful integration and implications for policy, practice, and future research.

**Keywords:** Open Distance and e-Learning; skills development; AI; VR/AR; blockchain; IoT; robotics; Sub-Saharan Africa; Constructivist Learning Theory

*Corresponding author: Olukayode Solomon Aboderin; *abodeso@unisa.ac.za*

## 1. Introduction

Education is one of the numerous sectors that have undergone substantial change due to the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), a term describing the ongoing technological transformation characterized by the convergence of physical, digital, and biological spheres. Open Distance and e-Learning (ODeL) has emerged as a crucial mode of education delivery, providing creative solutions to address the modern world's increasing demand for skill development (World Economic Forum, 2020). "Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), robotics, blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and other cutting-edge tools are drastically altering the higher education environment and having a significant impact on teaching methods, learning procedures, and skill development (OECD, 2021).

This concept is supported by the following:

> "*ODeL not only democratizes access to education but also plays a crucial role in skill development. By leveraging technology, ODeL can offer personalized learning experiences that cater to the diverse needs of students, thus enhancing their employability and adaptability in a rapidly changing job market.*" (Kanwar & Daniel, 2020).

The intersection of learning in a democratized and technologically advanced society is exemplified by the flexible and accessible nature of ODeL. Through ODeL, students are no longer limited by rigid schedules or physical locations, enabling more personalized and inclusive learning experiences (Enakrire et al., 2024). The potential of ODeL to support skills development is greatly enhanced by 4IR technologies, especially artificial intelligence (AI), which allows for adaptive learning environments and data-driven teaching. AI-driven platforms offer personalized learning experiences, real-time feedback, adaptive assessments, and immersive simulations, thereby aiding students in acquiring and developing skills (Chaka, 2023).

Despite these benefits, the credibility and quality of ODeL remain under scrutiny, with some questioning its comparability to traditional, campus-based education. Pushpakumara et al. (2023) highlight key challenges in quality assurance in higher education, including institutional limitations, ineffective quality assurance processes, and insufficient stakeholder engagement. Similarly, Singun (2025) stresses that limited ICT infrastructure, outdated systems, and unreliable connectivity continue to be major barriers to digital transformation in higher education. Nevertheless, Chaka (2023) argues that these challenges can be overcome through the strategic integration of advanced technologies, which not only solve current problems but also create new opportunities for innovation and educational equity.

Unlike conventional pedagogical models, ODeL's learner-centered approach promotes self-paced learning, which is essential in addressing the skills gap emerging in the 4IR era (Enakrire et al., 2024). Modular course structures and micro-credentials foster ongoing reskilling and upskilling, ensuring that learners

remain competitive in a constantly evolving labor market (World Bank, 2021; OECD, 2024). Moreover, ODeL's scalability makes it a compelling model for expanding educational opportunities to marginalized and underserved populations (Castillo et al., 2023). The integration of emerging 4IR technologies significantly strengthens ODeL's potential to deliver high-quality, skill-oriented education. Moreover, AI's capacity for personalization can be leveraged alongside VR, IoT, and other 4IR tools to support adaptive and student-centered learning (Dengel, 2024). Meanwhile, Internet-of-Things (IoT) devices facilitate remote collaboration and real-time engagement, enriching the learning process (Luckin et al., 2024).

Despite these advancements, several challenges remain. The digital divide continues to limit equitable access, particularly for students in rural or low-income communities (Mhlanga & Moloi, 2020). The cost of deploying and maintaining sophisticated digital infrastructure places a significant financial strain on higher education institutions in developing countries. Moreover, effective integration of these technologies requires ongoing professional development for educators (Kanwar & Daniel, 2020). Ethical considerations, particularly around data privacy and algorithmic bias, must also be addressed to ensure the responsible use of AI in education (Luckin et al., 2024).

This paper critically examines how 4IR technologies are reshaping ODeL in Sub-Saharan Africa. It explores the opportunities and challenges inherent in this transformation and identifies strategic imperatives for fostering a more inclusive, responsive, and culturally relevant educational paradigm. The discussion highlights key enablers, from infrastructure development to institutional collaboration, that must be prioritized to ensure that ODeL systems fully realize the potential of 4IR innovations.

This paper contributes to the field by offering a comprehensive conceptual synthesis of how a broad spectrum of 4IR technologies can be ethically and effectively integrated into ODeL to advance skills development in Sub-Saharan Africa. Unlike existing studies that narrowly focus on single technologies or Western educational contexts, this work foregrounds African realities, addressing cultural, ethical, and infrastructural dimensions. It provides a theoretically grounded and context-sensitive roadmap for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking to align ODeL practices with the demands of a rapidly evolving digital economy. The discussion is guided by constructivist learning theory and critical digital pedagogy, which together provide a lens for examining how these technologies can foster active, inclusive, and ethically responsible learning.

### 1.1 Research Problem

The integration of 4IR technology into ODeL in Sub-Saharan Africa remains uneven and insufficiently understood, particularly in the area of skill development (Ojetunde & Ramnarain, 2023). Although 4IR technologies have the potential to promote critical thinking and digital literacy, their successful deployment is hindered by a lack of infrastructure and teacher expertise

(Mhlanga, 2024). Both critical digital pedagogy and constructivist learning theory provide related frameworks for ethical, inclusive, and practical technology use (Isik, 2018). However, their practical application in African ODeL contexts is still underexplored, necessitating research into context-sensitive strategies for equitable skills development.

### 1.2 Research Questions

The following research questions were designed to guide the paper:

(1) How does the integration of emerging 4IR technologies within ODeL environments influence skills development among students in Sub-Saharan Africa?

(2) What are the key opportunities and challenges associated with implementing these diverse 4IR tools to promote inclusive, equitable, and future-ready skills development in ODeL contexts?

## 2. Theoretical frameworks

This paper is underpinned by two key theoretical frameworks: constructivist learning theory and critical digital pedagogy. Together, these paradigms offer a robust foundation for critically examining the integration of 4IR technologies within Open Distance and e-Learning, particularly for fostering meaningful and contextually relevant skill acquisition. Constructivism holds that learners build knowledge through experience and reflection (Piaget, 1972). In Open Distance and e-Learning (ODeL), this theory supports the use of 4IR technologies. Tools like virtual simulations and intelligent systems promote active learning and personalized engagement (Murad et al., 2023). These technologies align with constructivist principles by promoting learner autonomy and experiential learning.

Critical digital pedagogy offers a lens to examine the social and political dimensions of technology in education. It builds on Freire's (1970) idea of education as a tool for liberation. Scholars like Morris and Stommel (2014) and Giroux (2011) argue that digital tools are not neutral. They reflect values, power structures, and institutional biases. Critical digital pedagogy promotes equity, learner agency, and ethical use of technology. Bali (2024) stresses the need for care and decolonial approaches in AI and data-driven education.

In ODeL settings, where 4IR technologies, including virtual simulations, machine learning, and big data analytics, are increasingly employed to enhance learner engagement and outcomes, critical digital pedagogy provides a critical evaluative lens. It prompts essential questions regarding access, the pedagogical implications of technological mediation, and the extent to which such tools either ameliorate or exacerbate educational disparities (Bali & Sharma, 2017).

CDP thus reconceptualizes digital tools not merely as content delivery mechanisms, but as instruments for co-constructing knowledge, fostering inclusive participation, and advancing socially responsive pedagogy. These frameworks guide the analysis of the study by investigating how 4IR technologies affect equality, ethics, and inclusion (critical digital pedagogy) and support

learner-centered participation (constructivist learning theory). They also influence the criteria used to evaluate technology integration in ODeL contexts, which helps to define the methodological approach.

## 3. Method

This study employs a conceptual and interpretive research design to explore the potential of 4IR technologies in advancing skills development within ODeL environments in Sub-Saharan Africa. This approach is suitable for research aimed at generating theoretical insights and critically engaging with existing knowledge rather than collecting new empirical data. Interpretive research is particularly appropriate for examining educational phenomena in specific cultural and pedagogical contexts, emphasizing meaning-making and researcher reflexivity over quantification (Maher, 2025).

A narrative literature review was conducted to synthesize peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and theoretical contributions related to 4IR, ODeL, and skills development. Literature was purposively sampled from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, with an emphasis on African and Global South perspectives. This method enabled thematic synthesis and contextual depth, offering insights into the complexities of education in digitally evolving contexts (Usman et al., 2025). It also reflects the increasing use of narrative reviews in African higher education to examine institutional dynamics and policy responses (Boboyi & Kang'ethe, 2024).

## 4. Findings and Discussion

This section presents the key themes emerging from the narrative literature review, synthesizing insights from diverse sources to identify how Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) technologies are conceptualized, applied, and critiqued within ODeL contexts in Sub-Saharan Africa.

### 4.1 Harnessing 4IR for Inclusive, Personalised ODeL

Educational paradigms are being reshaped by the integration of 4IR technologies into ODeL, especially in terms of how systems prepare students for the changing demands of a globally networked digital economy. Emerging technologies such as blockchain, AI, IoT, robotics, VR/AR are revolutionizing how educational content is designed and delivered. This shift is especially visible in remote learning settings where traditional pedagogies are severely constrained (Adedoyin & Soykan, 2023; Mhlanga & Moloi, 2020; Schwab, 2017).

To build learner profiles with cognitive agility, technological fluency, and adaptive problem-solving abilities, ODeL must move beyond traditional models (Olaitan et al., 2024). AI supports inclusive, individualized, and data-driven learning pathways, while its functions extend beyond personalization to include translation, cultural adaptation, and inclusivity. For example, learning analytics tailor educational experiences to each learner, improving engagement and outcomes (Oke & Fernandes, 2020). AI-powered chatbots, adaptive learning platforms, and intelligent tutoring systems provide real-time support, encouraging academic persistence and ongoing participation (Nguyen, 2025).

Importantly, AI also promotes linguistic and cultural inclusivity through features like automated translation and culturally adaptive content, vital for the multilingual contexts that characterize many ODeL environments (Dahal, 2024; Damyanov, 2024). Murad et al. (2023) argue that AI-driven platforms foster essential 21st-century skills, such as creativity, teamwork, and critical thinking, especially when embedded within constructivist learning frameworks. These tools encourage deeper learning and sustained engagement, and when combined with transformational models, they foster equitable learning experiences and increase student agency (Sanabria-Zepeda et al., 2024).

Beyond AI, the broader ecosystem of 4IR tools offers opportunities for immersive, hands-on, and skill-focused learning. VR/AR makes abstract knowledge tangible and accessible (De Freitas et al., 2018). IoT and robotics enable interactive, practice-based learning experiences that encourage creativity and computational thinking, critical abilities for navigating automation-driven futures (Awuor et al., 2022). Robotics is increasingly incorporated into ODeL simulations and virtual labs, giving learners practical, hands-on exposure even in remote contexts. Big data and learning analytics create real-time feedback loops that help educators fine-tune interventions and improve curriculum design (Ogata et al., 2024).

Blockchain technology is transforming credentialing systems by enabling decentralized, verifiable, and secure academic records, particularly relevant for mobile learners and cross-border recognition (Albakri & Wood-Harper, 2025). The COVID-19 pandemic underscored the relevance of these tools, demonstrating both their potential to sustain learning during crises and the vulnerabilities of conventional systems (UNESCO, 2020). While 4IR tools can enhance educational access and quality, their impact in resource-constrained environments depends on aligning technological developments with infrastructure, pedagogy, and policy (Mhlanga & Moloi, 2020).

To fully harness the potential of these technologies, educational institutions must prioritize strategic integration, continuous professional development, and the collaborative design of learning materials that reflect both local realities and global competencies. While much of the literature frames 4IR technologies as transformative tools for improving access, personalization, and skills development, several scholars present counterarguments. Scholars argue that technology adoption can inadvertently widen educational inequalities if infrastructure, training, and ethical safeguards are inadequate (Mhlanga & Moloi, 2020; Jagannathan & Khatiwada, 2021).

Some contend that AI and automation may reinforce existing power imbalances, privilege well-resourced institutions while leaving underfunded ODeL systems further behind (Langeveldt & Pietersen, 2024). Others caution that the speed of technological innovation can outpace the adaptive capacity of both educators and policy frameworks, creating gaps between the tools' potential and actual classroom realities (Nguyen, 2025). These divergent perspectives highlight the need for a critical, context-aware approach that balances optimism about 4IR

innovations with recognition of the systemic barriers to their equitable implementation.

**4.2 4IR and Future-Ready Skills in ODeL**

The integration of 4IR technologies into ODeL is a transformative strategy for aligning education with the demands of the modern labor market. This convergence seeks to close gaps between academic training and industry standards, while modernizing pedagogy (Ndung'u & Signé, 2020; World Economic Forum, 2020). Oyetade and Zuva (2025) argue that AI tools, when designed and implemented ethically, can help mitigate systemic biases in teaching and learning. A key focus is on enhancing teachers' digital literacy, so that educators are equipped to use AI responsibly and effectively. This integration, they argue, is essential for a human-centered, ethical approach to AI in education, one that prioritizes access, fairness, and teacher empowerment (Oyetade & Zuva, 2025).

Matúšová and Kollár (2023) stress the need for significant changes in education and labor markets as new professions demand updated graduate profiles. They advocate for reforms in educational structures and qualifications to meet the requirements of the 4IR paradigm, linking industrial policy and educational strategies. Olaitan et al. (2024) add that the rapid rise of disruptive technologies puts pressure on institutions to update curricula and embed skills that ensure graduates remain employable.

A major benefit of 4IR technology is its ability to enhance hands-on learning in ODeL settings. Okafor et al. (2023), for instance, show how 3D visualization in architectural education helps students grasp complex design processes, bridging theory and practice. Blockchain technologies also support ODeL by enabling secure credentialing and verifiable academic records, particularly valuable for mobile and international learners. To make these benefits widely accessible, fair access to advanced tools is crucial, regardless of learners' socioeconomic or geographic background (Olaitan et al., 2024).

However, this progress requires significant institutional investment in staff training and digital infrastructure. Without this support, the educational benefits of 4IR tools risk being unevenly distributed or underutilized. Industry-academic collaboration is also critical: Ogunmodede and Zincume (2024) and Mayer et al. (2024) emphasize that partnerships with industry help identify key competencies and guide curriculum development. Continuous industry feedback ensures that pedagogy remains relevant to changing market conditions (Mokgatla & Moseley, 2022).

The 4IR workforce requires a blend of technical, cognitive, and socioemotional skills. ODeL platforms are well-positioned to meet these demands by offering modular courses, micro-credentials, and flexible learning pathways (Shanahan & Organ, 2022). These structures enable learners to upskill and reskill without disrupting their work or personal commitments. In a rapidly changing job market, this lifelong learning model helps maintain competitiveness. Chaka (2023) and the

World Economic Forum (2020) note that education must go beyond digital competence to foster adaptability, innovation, and critical thinking, skills that are indispensable in the 4IR era. The future of work depends not just on what people know, but on their ability to learn, unlearn, and relearn (World Economic Forum, 2020).

Alongside technical proficiency, soft skills like collaboration, communication, and emotional intelligence are increasingly vital in modern work environments. Mayer et al. (2024) argue that these human-centric skills are as important as technical ones, especially in diverse, multidisciplinary teams. Gaula et al. (2022) reinforce this view, highlighting that lifelong learning must be embedded in ODeL systems, so students can keep pace with ongoing technological disruption. Implementation must also be context sensitive. Mayer et al. (2024) caution that the impact of 4IR technologies is not uniform across regions, and cultural and regional variations must be considered to prevent widening inequalities.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, these considerations are magnified by infrastructure gaps and uneven access, making local realities just as important as global trends. A critical counterpoint by Jagannathan and Khatiwada (2021) is that the rapid evolution of technology can outpace the ability of institutions to adapt, risking a deeper skills gap that could hinder growth and innovation.

**4.3 Promise and Pitfalls of 4IR in ODeL**

The integration of 4IR technologies into ODeL environments in Sub-Saharan Africa presents both transformative potential and significant challenges. These technologies offer promising opportunities to enhance collaboration, personalize learning experiences, and promote computational and critical thinking skills (Chaka, 2023; Murad et al., 2023; Dengel, 2024; Awuor et al., 2022; Olaitan et al., 2024; Albakri & Wood-Harper, 2025; Oyetade & Zuva, 2025). However, the adoption of these technologies is constrained by financial and infrastructural limitations that hinder access to cutting-edge tools (Mtebe & Raisamo, 2021; Islam & Rihan, 2024).

In addition, ethical concerns, such as algorithmic bias, opaque decision-making processes, and the misuse of data, remain prevalent (Lampou, 2023; Wangdi, 2023). While initiatives such as Kenya's IoT-enabled laboratories and Tshwane University of Technology's virtual reality experiments demonstrate innovation and potential (Awuor et al., 2022; Dengel, 2024; Olaitan et al., 2024), substantial gaps in resources and institutional preparedness persist.

Scholars emphasize the importance of inclusive policy frameworks, ethical governance, and collaborative partnerships to ensure equitable integration of 4IR technologies (ScienceDirect, 2024; OECD, 2021; Aluko et al., 2022). Nonetheless, progress is impeded by the digital divide, low levels of digital literacy, and cultural mismatches between imported technologies and local contexts (Mhlanga & Moloi, 2020; Kanwar & Daniel, 2020; Singun, 2025; Ndofirepi & Gwaravanda, 2018; Maphalala & Ajani, 2025). Furthermore, unresolved legal issues related to intellectual property and data privacy exacerbate existing inequalities (Kotsis,

2024; Lima et al., 2024). A context-sensitive, critically engaged strategy that promotes creativity, tackles systemic inequalities, and empowers educators and students is crucial for navigating these difficulties.

**4.4 Bridging the Digital Divide**

In ODeL settings, skill development necessitates a comprehensive framework that combines metacognitive techniques, collaborative learning approaches, and technological innovation. Because ODeL demands that students be more independent and self-reliant than in typical classroom settings, pedagogies that actively foster these skills are necessary. Metacognitive techniques, especially those emphasizing active participation, have been shown to improve students' understanding and memory (Kim & Anderson, 2023). Roberts and Gous (2023) argue that students who are taught metacognitive listening techniques improve their understanding, while also developing self-control and critical thinking skills.

They stress that teachers should incorporate these tactics into their lesson plans to encourage deeper learning, particularly in ODeL settings. As such, educators must scaffold these strategies with guided interaction and contextualized feedback to ensure inclusivity and effectiveness. These strategies are grounded in constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes active, student-centered approaches, and critical digital pedagogy, which frames the ethical, inclusive, and equitable use of technology in these settings.

The task of educators has grown more sophisticated as the ODeL model has evolved, requiring expertise in information and communication technologies. According to Bourbaki (2022), teacher preparation programs need to incorporate pedagogically effective technology integration in addition to basic digital literacy. However, such training is frequently disjointed or unrelated to actual classroom demands in many under-resourced environments. As a result, effective professional development needs to be ongoing, practice-based, and customized for the unique digital settings that educators occupy.

Concurrently, it has been demonstrated that including collaborative learning strategies, such as cooperative projects, peer mentoring, and problem-based learning, improves student engagement and skills development. Zamiri and Esmaeili (2024) argue that these approaches are valuable in digital settings, but their effectiveness relies on dependable infrastructure and thoughtful instructional design to avoid excluding students with poor connectivity.

Higher education institutions are strategically responding to the demands of the 4IR by establishing laboratories for AI and emerging technologies (Acakpovi & Boison, 2025). Acakpovi and Boison (2025) argue that these labs give students practical experience in fields like data analytics, virtual reality, and robotics, bringing education into line with business demands. In addition to technical skills, these labs foster multidisciplinary teamwork, critical thinking, and problem-solving, all of which are vital in the modern, digitally transformed workplace. These labs are increasingly viewed in Africa as transformative environments that help close the theory-practice gap in technology-driven

education. However, maintaining these programs calls for significant capital expenditure, institutional dedication, and supportive laws that promote sustainability and fair access.

Despite these developments, there are many obstacles to overcome. Digital literacy is still a significant divide between students and teachers, especially in underserved regions (Mishra, 2025). Digital literacy encompasses the skills needed to live, learn, and work effectively using digital tools, such as social media, mobile devices, and online platforms (Smart Zone, 2018). As a result, ODeL platforms need to give priority to improving students' digital skills and make sure that these systems are not only technologically advanced, but also accessible and easy to use. Digital literacy among both students and educators is fundamental to effective skills development in ODeL environments (Maphosa & Bhebhe, 2019).

The digital divide is one of the challenges that most ODeL higher education institutions continue to face in developing countries, as well as limited access to computers, a lack of industrialization and poor human capital development (Mathrani et al., 2021). In Sub-Saharan Africa, these gaps are magnified by unequal connectivity, resource constraints, and disparities in teacher training, underscoring the need for localized, context-aware solutions. Dileep (2020) asserts that through advancements in electronic communication, digital technical instruments can provide a strong foundation for the sustainability of ODeL institutions.

Scholars argue that the use of digital tools, such as webinars, laptops, digital tablets, and web-based learning management systems, can lead to a basic understanding of computer skills among students that may impact their use in ODeL (Bower & Torrington, 2020; Camilleri & Camilleri, 2022; Badaru & Adu, 2022). Similarly, Enakrire and Kehinde (2022) also argue that the utilization of Microsoft Teams, Zoom, and WhatsApp is particularly unique in the way that their use may be influenced by fundamental technical skills.

According to UNESCO (2022), teachers must be equipped to design, deliver, and assess digitally mediated instruction using tools that foster creativity, collaboration, and critical thinking. At the same time, students must acquire both basic and advanced digital skills to navigate complex learning environments and fully benefit from available technologies (Masenya, 2021; Leus, 2022). Without these foundational capabilities, even the most innovative platforms may fail to deliver equitable learning outcomes. This dual focus reflects a strategic pathway for ODeL to harness 4IR technologies to create equitable, future-ready learning systems.

**4.5 Strategic Enablers for 4IR Integration in ODeL in Sub-Saharan Africa**

The effective integration of 4IR technologies into ODeL systems in Sub-Saharan Africa hinges on comprehensive policy reforms, robust institutional capacity, inclusive pedagogies, and ethical governance. These enablers are grounded in constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes active, student-centered

approaches, and critical digital pedagogy, which frames the ethical and inclusive integration of technology into learning.

Appropriate, forward-looking policies are needed to address persistent disparities in digital access and educational quality, ensuring that technologies like AI, IoT, and immersive media promote equity and relevance (Zongozzi & Nguban, 2025). At the national level, governments must align 4IR strategies with broader digital and educational agendas, as demonstrated by South Africa's White Paper on Post-School Education and Training (DHET, 2019) and Kenya's Digital Economy Blueprint (Republic of Kenya, 2019). These efforts must be matched by investments in rural broadband, zero-rated educational content, and local digital content creation (Adedoyin & Soykan, 2023).

ODeL institutions should align their strategic plans with digital transformation goals by upgrading infrastructure, such as learning management systems, cloud platforms, and AI tools, to support scalable and engaging learning experiences (Mhlanga & Moloi, 2020). Faculty development programs must equip educators with the pedagogical and digital skills needed to implement AI, VR/AR, and learning analytics in student-centered ways, while fostering a culture of innovation (Mtebe & Raisamo, 2021).

Equally important is epistemic inclusion, the redesign of AI tools and educational content to reflect African languages, local contexts, and indigenous knowledge systems. This approach ensures students see their identities and realities reflected in their learning, while preventing epistemic injustices and marginalization (Ndofirepi & Gwaravanda, 2018).

**4.5 Implications of the Study**

These implications are grounded in constructivist learning theory (Morris & Stommel, 2018), which emphasizes active, student-centered approaches, and critical digital pedagogy (Piaget, 1952; Vygotsky, 1978), which stresses ethical and inclusive technology integration. The study emphasizes the significance of concerted efforts among key stakeholders for the successful integration of 4IR technologies into ODeL systems in Sub-Saharan Africa. Educators need ongoing professional development to use 4IR technologies in ways that are both pedagogically sound and contextually relevant (Bozkurt et al., 2023; Inusah, 2023).

Policymakers should strive to champion inclusive digital policy reforms, develop ethical governance frameworks, and increase broadband infrastructure in order to guarantee equity and safeguard data privacy (Ruttkamp-Bloem, 2023). Universities and ODeL providers should form strategic industry alliances to support microcredentialing, expand access to advanced technologies, and co-create globally responsive, culturally grounded curricula (Lazarus et al., 2025; Mills, 2024). These collaborations are vital for building resilient, inclusive, and future-ready ODeL systems that fully leverage 4IR technologies for equitable skills development.

## 5. Conclusion

This paper offers a critical and integrative analysis of how 4IR technologies, including AI, VR/AR, IoT, robotics, and blockchain, can be strategically embedded in ODeL higher education settings to support skills development across Sub-Saharan Africa. These technologies enhance personalized learning, foster immersive and collaborative experiences, and cultivate critical thinking and problem-solving skills, yet they also bring persistent challenges, such as digital inequality, infrastructural deficits, financial burdens, and ethical concerns.

The study's distinctive contribution lies in examining the full spectrum of 4IR tools, explicitly linking constructivist learning theory and critical digital pedagogy to practical ODeL applications, while highlighting tensions in the literature, such as blockchain's security benefits versus its prohibitive costs. Crucially, it underscores the importance of cultural and epistemic sensitivity, advocating for engagement with African indigenous knowledge systems to ensure that the integration of 4IR technologies does not reinforce colonial epistemologies, but instead advances inclusive, future-ready education.

## 6. Recommendations

Based on this study, two recommendations are proposed:

First, ODeL institutions should implement competency-based learning models strengthened by 4IR technologies in order to promote experiential and skills-oriented education that is in line with expectations in the real world (Mills, 2024; Inusah, 2023). Second, the integration of AI-powered learning systems should provide customized learning paths based on the unique characteristics of each learner. Co-designing curricula in strategic partnership with industry stakeholders will guarantee relevance, flexibility, and response to evolving workforce demands (Lazarus et al., 2025; Bozkurt et al., 2023).

## 7. References

Acakpovi, A., & Boison, D. K. (2025). Revolutionizing education through the metaverse: The case for AI labs in African tertiary institutions. *MyJoyOnline*. https://www.myjoyonline.com/revolutionizing-education-through-the-metaverse-the-case-for-ai-labs-in-african-tertiary-institutions/

Adedoyin, O. B., &Soykan, E. (2023). COVID-19 pandemic and online learning: The challenges and opportunities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(2), 863–875. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1813180

Al Abri, M. H., Al Aamri, A. Y., & Elhaj, A. M. A. (2024). Enhancing student learning experiences through integrated constructivist pedagogical models. *European Journal of Contemporary Education and E-Learning*, *2*(1), 130–149. https://doi.org/10.59324/ejceel.2024.2(1).11

Albakri, M., & Wood-Harper, A. T. (2025). Innovation strategy for the future of teaching and learning. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-87604-2

Aluko, R., Krull, G., & Mhlanga, E. (2022). *Shaping open*, distance and e-learning in post-school education and training: A call for a revised agenda. *Perspectives in Education*, *40*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.38140/pie.v40i1.5563

Awuor, F. M., Hayombe, P., & Rabah, K. (2022). Internet of Things in African higher education: Implementation and implications. *International Journal of Education and Development Using ICT*, *18*(1). http://ijedict.dec.uwi.edu/viewarticle.php?id=3014

Badaru, K. A., &Adu, E. O. (2022). Platformization of education: An analysis of South African universities' learning management systems. *Research in Social Sciences and Technology*, *7*(2), 66–86.

Bali, M. A., & Sharma, S. (2017). Envisioning post-colonial MOOCs: Critiques and ways forward. In Massive open online courses and higher education (pp. 26–44). Routledge.

Bali, M. (2024). Critical AI literacy is not enough: Introducing care literacy, equity literacy and teaching philosophies. *Mahabali Blog*. https://blog.mahabali.me/educational-technology-2/critical-ai-literacy-is-not-enough-introducing-care-literacy-equity-literacy-teaching-philosophies-a-slide-deck/

Boateng, F. D. (2022). Eneza Education AI tutoring pilot in Ghana: Enhancing literacy through mobile learning. *The Borgen Project*. https://borgenproject.org/ai-tutoring-in-africa/

Bourbaki, N. (2022). Teacher development in the digital era: Experiences from a Zimbabwean ODeL institution. In *Digital Transformation in Education* (pp. 81–98). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4226-6_6

Boboyi, A., & Kang'ethe, S. M. (2024). Unpacking challenges within South African institutions of higher learning in addressing student grievances: A literature review. *Journal of Culture and Values in Education*, *7*(2), 215-229. https://doi.org/10.46303/jcve 2024.20

Bower, M., & Torrington, J. (2020). Typology of free web-based learning technologies. EDUCAUSE Digital Library.

Camilleri, M. A., & Camilleri, A. C. (2022). The acceptance of learning management systems and video conferencing technologies: Lessons learned from COVID-19. *Technology*, Knowledge, and Learning, *27*(4), 1311–1333.

Castillo, N. M., Wagner, D. A., Alrawashdeh, G. S., & Moog, C. (2023). Edtech and marginalization: Scaling for learning equity. UNESCO. https://doi.org/10.54676/ZKZT2998

Chaka, C. (2023). Fourth Industrial Revolution—A review of applications, prospects, and challenges for artificial intelligence, robotics, and blockchain in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 18*, 002. https://doi.org/10.58459/rptel.2023.18002

Dahal, C. (2024). Revolutionizing education through AI-powered inclusive learning systems. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference (pp. 23736–23737). https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i21.30546

Damyanov, K. (2024). Differentiation of educational content through artificial intelligence systems in inclusive education. *International Journal of Education*, *12*(3), 13–20. https://doi.org/10.5121/ije2024.12302

De Freitas, S., Neumann, T., & Dunwell, I. (2018). Learning in immersive environments: A review of VR and AR applications in education. *Computers & Education, 128*, 102–115.

Dengel, A. (2024). Immersive Learning, Immersive Education, and Immersive Teaching–a Note on Terminology, Correlates, and Classroom Integration. In *Augmented and Virtual Reality in Mathematics Education: International Symposium on Augmented and Virtual Reality* 2022 (pp. 1–9). Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.

Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET). (2019). White Paper on Post-School Education and Training. Republic of South Africa.

Dileep, G. J. R. E. (2020). A survey on smart grid technologies and applications. *Renewable Energy, 146*, 2589–2625.

Enakrire, R. T., & Kehinde, F. J. (2022). WhatsApp application for teaching and learning in higher education institutions. Library Hi Tech News.

https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-07-2022-0088
Enakrire, R. T., Fombad, M. C., & Morodi, L. (2024). Skills required of academics to use digital technologies in open distance learning institutions. *Innovative Higher Education*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-024-09758-w
Fosnot, C. T. (2013). *Constructivism: Theory*, *perspectives, and practice*. Teachers College Press.
Giroux, H. (2020). *On critical pedagogy*. Bloomsbury Academic. Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Herder and Herder.
Gaula, M., Telukdarie, A., &Munsamy, M. (2022). 4IR skills development: A comparative analysis of the South African and global skills development systems. In *2022 PICMET Conference* (pp. 1–6). IEEE.
Islam, M. A., & Rihan, N. M. (2024). AI and blockchain as sustainable teaching and learning tools to cope with the 4IR. In *Blockchain and AI* (pp. 123–153). CRC Press.
Isik, A. D. (2018). Use of technology in the constructivist approach. *Educational Research and Reviews*, *13(21)*, 704-711. https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2018.3609
Jagannathan, S., & Khatiwada, S. (2021). *Reaping the Benefits of Industry* 4.0 through Skills Development in High-Growth Industries in Southeast Asia: Insights from Cambodia,Indonesia, the Philippines, and Vietnam. https://doi.org/10.22617/SPR200325
Kanwar, A., & Daniel, J. (2020). *Report to Commonwealth Education Ministers: From response to resilience*. Commonwealth of Learning. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0
Kim, J. Y., & Anderson, T. N. (2023). The efficacy of metacognitive reading strategies in the college classroom: Student perception towards the learning experience. *International Journal on Studies in Education (IJonSE), 5*(1). https://doi.org/10.46328/ijonse.80
Kotsis, K. T. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence in science education: Benefits and challenges. *International Journal of Educational Innovation*, *6*(3), 39–49. https://doi.org/10.69685/icas1772
Lampou, R. (2023). The integration of artificial intelligence in education: Opportunities and challenges. https://doi.org/10.37497/rev.artif.intell.educ.v4i00.15
Langeveldt, D. C., & Pietersen, D. (2024). Decolonising AI: A critical approach to education and social justice. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Education Research, 6*(s1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.38140/ijer-2024.vol6.s1.07
Leus, R. (2022). *The use of digital educational technology affordances to develop 21st-century skills in online learning* (master's thesis, University of Pretoria, South Africa). https://doi.org/10.25403/UPresearchdata.22067798.v1
Lima, L. A. D. O., Gomes, L. P., Silva, P. H. D. S. E., Oliveira, E. F. D. S., Nascimento, M. D., Tourem, R. V., & Santos, I. D. M. P. D. (2024). *Artificial intelligence and its use in the educational process*. In *Navigating through the knowledge of education* (1st ed., pp. 2 –43). Seven Editora.
Luckin, R., Rudolph, J., Grünert, M., & Tan, S. (2024). Exploring the future of learning and the relationship between human intelligence and AI: An interview with Professor Rose Luckin. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2024.7.1.27
Magagula, M. M., & Awodigi, O. A. (2024). The implications of the fourth industrial revolution on technical and vocational education and training in South Africa. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 10,* 100896. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.100896
Maher, A. J. (2025). Rigour in interpretive qualitative research in education: Ideas to think with. *British Educational Research Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.4156
Maphosa, C., & Bhebhe, S. (2019). Digital literacy: A must for open distance and e-

learning (ODEL) students. *European Journal of Education Studies*, *5*(10), 186–199. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2560085

Maphalala, M. C., &Ajani, O. A. (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence as a learning tool in higher education. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Education Research*, *7*(1), a01. https://doi.org/10.38140/ijer-2025.vol7.1.01

Mathrani, A., Sarvesh, T., & Umer, R. (2021). Digital divide framework: Online learning in developing countries during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Globalisation, Societies, and Education*, *19*(5), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2021.1981253

Masenya, T. M. (2021). Digital literacy skills are a prerequisite for teaching and learning in higher education institutions. *Mousaion: South African Journal of Information Studies*, *39*(2). https://doi.org/10.25159/2663-659X/8428

Matúšová, S., & Kollár, V. (2023). *Labor and education markets in Industry* 4.0. Acta Educationist Generalis, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.2478/atd-2023-0001

Mayer, C., Oosthuizen, R. M., & Vanderheiden, E. (2024). Editorial: Transformational competences and the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15*, 1504360. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1504360

Mhlanga, D., & Moloi, T. (2020). COVID-19 and the digital transformation of education: What are we learning on 4IR in South Africa? *Education Sciences, 10*(7), 180. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10070180

Mhlanga, D. (2024). Digital transformation of education, the limitations and prospects of introducing the fourth industrial revolution, asynchronous online learning in emerging markets. *Discover Education*, *3*(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00115-9

Mishra, P. (2025). Revolutionizing Education with AI and ML. In M. Ouaissa, H. Lamaazi, M. El Hamlaoui, & K. Reddy C. (Eds.), *Internet of Behavior-Based Computational Intelligence for Smart Education Systems* (pp. 53-94). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8151-9.ch003

Mokgatla, O. R., & Moseley, A. M. (2022). Exploring the practical integration of 4IR technologies in industrial design education in South Africa. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research 2022* (pp. 276–289). https://doi.org/10.26803/myres.2022.23

Morris, S. M., & Stommel, J. (2017). Open education as resistance: MOOCs and critical digital pedagogy. In E. Losh (Ed.), *MOOCs and their afterlives: Experiments in scale and access in higher education* (pp. 177–197). University of Chicago Press.

Morris, S. M., & Stommel, J. (2018). An urgency of teachers: The work of critical digital pedagogy. *Hybrid Pedagogy*. https://hybridpedagogy.org/an-urgency-of-teachers/

Mtebe, J. S., & Raisamo, R. (2021). Challenges and opportunities of immersive learning in Sub-Saharan Africa: A review of virtual and augmented reality in higher education. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *22*(3), 180–199. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v22i3.5393

Murad, I. A., Surameery, N. M. S., & Shakor, M. Y. (2023). Adopting ChatGPT to enhance educational experiences. *International Journal of Information Technology & Computer Engineering, 3*(5), 20–25. https://doi.org/10.55529/ijitc.35.20.2

Ndofirepi, A. P., & Gwaravanda, E. T. (2018). Epistemic (in)justice in African universities: A perspective of the politics of knowledge. *Educational Review*, *71*(5), 581–593. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2018.1474174

Ndung'u, N., & Signé, L. (2020). The Fourth Industrial Revolution and digitization will transform Africa into a global powerhouse. *Brookings Institution*. https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-and-digitization-will-transform-africa-into-a-global-powerhouse/

Nguyen, K. V. (2025). The use of generative AI tools in higher education: Ethical and

pedagogical principles. *Journal of Academic Ethics, 23*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-025-09607-1

OECD. (2021). *AI and the future of skills, Volume 1: Capabilities and assessments*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecd.org/education/ai-and-the-future-of-skills-volume-1.html

OECD. (2024). *AI, data governance and privacy: Synergies and areas of international cooperation* (OECD Artificial Intelligence Papers, No. 22). OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/2476b1a4-en

Ogata, H., Majumdar, R., Flanagan, B., & Kuromiya, H. (2024). Learning analytics and evidence-based K12 education in Japan: Usage of data-driven services for mobile learning across two years. *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation, 18*(1), 15–48. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMLO.2024.135123

Ogunmodede, O., &Zincume, P. N. (2024). Railway Skill Development for the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A Systematic Review of Developing Countries. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology, and Innovation (ICE/ITMC)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ice/itmc61926.2024.10794310

Ojetunde, S. M., & Ramnarain, U. (2023). Applying 4IRs in education technology to science pedagogy: effects and students' experience. *Smart Learning Environments*, *10*(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00251-z

Okafor, C., Aigbavboa, C., Aliu, J., & Tanga, O. (2022). *The integration of* 4IR technologies in architectural education for upskilling the workforce in the Nigerian built environment. In *International Conference on Computing in Civil and Building Engineering* (pp. 227–241). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32515-1_16

Oke, A., & Fernandes, F. A. P. (2020). Innovations in teaching and learning: Exploring the perceptions of the education sector on the 4th Industrial Revolution (4IR). *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology*, Market, and Complexity, *6*(2), 31. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6020031

Olaitan, O. O., Vijayalekshmi, S., & Kumar, D. V. (2024). Integrating 4IR technologies into higher education in South Africa: Opportunities, challenges, and strategies. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 23*(11), 157–179. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.11.8

Oyetade, K., & Zuva, T. (2025). Advancing equitable education with inclusive AI to mitigate bias and enhance teacher literacy. *Educational Process: International Journal, 14*, e2025087. https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.14.87

Pandey, A. (2020). eLearning trends in 2020 to look out for. *ELearning Industry*. https://elearningindustry.com/elearning-trends-in-2020

Piaget, J. (1972). Development and learning. In R. E. Ripple & V. N. Rockcastle (Eds.), *Piaget rediscovered* (pp. 7–20). Cornell University Press. Reprinted in *Readings in child behavior and development* (pp. 38–46). https://wacresources.commons.gc.cuny.edu/files/2014/10/Piaget-Development-and-Learning.pdf

Pietersen, D., Langeveldt, D. C., & Van Wyk, A. (2023). Techno-rationalism and higher educational law: Examining legal frameworks in Southern African universities from a Freirean critical pedagogy perspective. *Journal of Culture and Values in Education, 6*(3), 163–178. https://doi.org/10.46303/jcve.2023.26

Pietersen, D. (2023). Online teaching and learning platforms in higher education from developing universities in South Africa (Africa): Socially just and decolonized pedagogies. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Perspectives in Higher Education, 8*(1), 133–147. https://ojed.org/jimphe

Pushpakumara, H. M. C., Jayaweera, P. M., & Wanniarachchige, M. K. (2023). Issues and challenges of quality assurance in higher education institutes: A systematic

literature review. *Journal of Management Matters*, *10*(1), 49–65. https://doi.org/10.4038/jmm.v10i1.47

Republic of Kenya. (2019). Digital economy blueprint: Powering Kenya's transformation. Government of Kenya. https://ict.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Kenya-Digital-Economy-Blueprint.pdf

Roberts, J., & Gous, I. G. P. (2014). ODeL – Open and distance education and listening: The need for metacognitive listening strategies. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, *4*(3), 63. https://www.mcser.org/journal/index.php/jesr/article/download/2695/2662

Sanabria Zepeda, J. C., Olivo Montaño, P. G., Artemova, I., & Argüelles Cruz, A. J. (2024). Prospective narratives on global issues: An AI-based pedagogical model for assessing complex thinking. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, *14*(1), 184–199. https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.2445

Schwab, K. (2017). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. *World Economic Forum*. https://www.weforum.org/about/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-by-klaus-schwab/

Singun, A. J. (2025). Unveiling the barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *Discover Education*, *4*(1), 37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00430

Smart Zone. (2018). What is digital literacy? https://www.westernsydney.edu.au/studysmart/home/digital_literacy/what_is_digital_literacy

Stommel, J. (2014). Critical digital pedagogy: A definition. *Hybrid Pedagogy*. https://hybridpedagogy.org/critical-digital-pedagogy-definition

Shanahan, B. W., & Organ, J. (2022). Harnessing the benefits of micro-credentials for Industry 4.0 and 5.0: Skills training and lifelong learning. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, *55*(39), 82–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.12.015

UNESCO (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education – All means all. *United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization*. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718

UNESCO (2022). Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education. *United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization*. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379707

Wangdi, P. (2023). Integrating artificial intelligence in education: Trends and opportunities. *International Journal of Research in STEM Education*, *6*(2), 50–60. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4900777

World Bank. (2021). Digital Skills: Frameworks and Programs. *World Bank*https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/562351611824219616/pdf/Digital-Skills-Frameworks-and-Programs.pdf

World Economic Forum. (2020). Schools of the future: Defining new models of education for the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *WEF*. https://www.weforum.org/publications/schools-of-the-future-defining-new-models-of-education-for-the-fourth-industrial-revolution/

Zamiri, M., & Esmaeili, A. (2024). Strategies, methods, and supports for developing skills within learning communities: A systematic review of the literature. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(9), 231. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14090231

Zongozzi, J. N., & Ngubane, S. A. (2025). Equitable access to digital higher education for students with disabilities in South Africa. *African Journal of Disability, 14*, a1525. https://doi.org/10.4102/ajod